-	
1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3	
4	January 28, 2011 - 1:39 p.m.
5	Concord, New Hampshire NHPUC FEB16'11 PM 1:11
6	
7	RE: DRM 10-260 RULEMAKING:
8	Puc 2100 Rules - Affiliate Transactions Rules, Gas and Electric.
9	
10	<b>PRESENT:</b> Chairman Thomas B. Getz, Presiding
11	Commissioner Clifton C. Below
	Commissioner Amy L. Ignatius
12	Sandy Deno, Clerk
13	
14	<b>APPEARANCES:</b> (No Appearances Taken)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
	Court Reporter: Steven E. Pathaude, LCK NO. 52
24	

ORIGINAL

{DRM 10-260} {01-28-11}

PROCEEDING
CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Good afternoon.
We'll open the hearing in Docket DRM 10-260. On
October 29, 2010, the Commission voted, pursuant to RSA
541-A, to initiate a rulemaking under PUC rules, Part
2100, Affiliate Transaction rules. And, the rules
represent a readoption of the existing rules, with
amendments intended to clarify and improve certain
provisions in the existing rules. A rulemaking notice
form was filed with the Office of Legislative Services on
November 23, 2010. And, we issued an order on December 7.
I'll note that written comments are due by February 11.
This hearing is held pursuant to RSA 541-A:11, under the
Administrative Procedures Act. And, the purpose of
hearing is to take public comments on the proposed rules.
And, I also note for the record that the three
Commissioners are present, so the quorum requirement under
the statute is met.
So, with that, this is an opportunity
for public comment.
MR. EATON: Good afternoon. My name is
Gerald Eaton. I appear representing Public Service
Company of New Hampshire. I have one small suggestion in
the areas of the definitions. As I was reading through
{DRM 10-260} {01-28-11}

1	the text, I notice some rules applied to "competitive
2	affiliates" and some rules applied to "competitive energy
3	affiliates". I assumed the term "competitive affiliate"
4	includes "competitive energy affiliates". However, I know
5	that lawyers will make arguments no matter what. And,
6	that the definition of "competitive affiliate" ought to
7	mention that it includes "competitive energy affiliates".
8	So, the narrow definition would be just "competitive
9	energy affiliates", affiliates that are selling retail to
10	other to power supply, but "competitive affiliates"
11	would be a broader definition that includes any company,
12	including a competitive energy affiliate.
13	And, that's all the comments I have.
14	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Is there
15	anyone else who would like to comment?
16	MS. FABRIZIO: Yes. Thank you, Mr.
17	Chairman. I would note for the record that the revisions
18	that we've set forth in the Initial Proposal are primarily
19	editorial in nature, and are intended to simply fine-tune
20	the language in the current rules.
21	I reviewed the rules over the last
22	couple of days and have found another several typos to
23	correct that I will just point out to you now.
24	In PUC 2101.02, in the "Scope", the
	{DRM 10-260} {01-28-11}

¥.

cross reference should be "2101.06". In 2105 --1 CMSR. BELOW: Hold on. 2 3 MS. FABRIZIO: I'm sorry. CMSR. BELOW: Is this at (a)? 4 MS. FABRIZIO: In (a), yes. 5 6 CMSR. BELOW: "2101.06", that's what our 7 copy says. 8 MS. FABRIZIO: It says "2101.06" -- I'm 9 sorry, it should be "2102.06". 10 CMSR. BELOW: Okay. 11 MS. FABRIZIO: To correctly refer to the 12 definition for "distribution companies". In 2105.06, Item 13 (b), the cross reference in that line should be to "(a)", not "(1)". 14 15 CMSR. IGNATIUS: I'm sorry. 16 MS. FABRIZIO: On Page 10, 2105.06, it's 17 the new letter. 18 CMSR. IGNATIUS: I'm sorry. I don't 19 have -- something's wrong. I don't have a 210 -- I don't 20 have a Page 10. 21 CHAIRMAN GETZ: I think your reference 22 was to "2105 --23 MS. FABRIZIO: 5.06. 24 CHAIRMAN GETZ: -- .06. We have just {DRM 10-260} {01-28-11}

1 the odd pages. 2 MS. FABRIZIO: Oh. 3 CMSR. BELOW: Oh. I have it. 4 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Some people have all the 5 pages. 6 CMSR. BELOW: So, 2105.06(b). 7 MS. FABRIZIO: (b). 8 CMSR. BELOW: And, it says "Required to be tracked under" --9 10 MS. FABRIZIO: It should be "(a)", to 11 refer to the new enumeration. 12 CMSR. BELOW: Got it. Thank you. 13 MS. FABRIZIO: And, on Page 14, in rule 14 2106.03, I think a better cross reference -- I'm sorry, 15 it's the new "a", I would delete the "(a)" and "(b)" in 16 that line. So, the compliance plan continues to meet the 17 requirements of PUC 2106.01 and 2106.02. 18 And, finally, the appendix on the last 19 page, Page 16, the cross reference to the statute should 20 reference "RSA 366", the statute governing affiliates of 21 public utilities. And, then, that's it. 22 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Thank you. 23 CMSR. BELOW: And, if I may, I have a 24 question for Mr. Eaton. Which is on that definition, the {DRM 10-260} {01-28-11}

1	point you made, would it make sense possibly to make the
2	same on "non-affiliated energy supplier" and
3	"non-affiliated supplier", and say that "non-affiliated
4	supplier" in the definition includes "non-affiliated
5	energy supplier"?
б	MR. EATON: Yes. That would be
7	appropriate.
8	CMSR. BELOW: And, Ms. Fabrizio, would
9	you concur? Do you think that's a helpful clarification?
10	MS. FABRIZIO: I think that is. And, I
11	think, prior to the comment period ending on February
12	11th, I think we'll all go through the rules just with an
13	eye to see whether these changes warrant additional
14	changes later in the rules.
15	CMSR. BELOW: Okay. Thanks.
16	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Anything further
17	this afternoon?
18	(No verbal response)
19	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing nothing, then
20	we'll close this hearing. Thank you.
21	(Whereupon the hearing ended at 1:47
22	p.m.)
23	
24	
	$\left( \sum M \left\{ 1 \right\} \right) = \left\{ \left\{ 0 \right\} \right\} = \left\{ 0 \right\} $

{DRM 10-260} {01-28-11}